

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,292	07/03/2001	Michele Amouyal	1231-01	2241
35811	7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP			SIEW, JEFFREY	
3400 TWO LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND ARCH STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/898,292	AMOUYAL, MICHELE				
Havioory Housen	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Siew	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address						
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: new claim 27 would raise a new rejection	and consideration.					
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14 and 16-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10 Other:						

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): regarding claim 15 the response has overcome the 102 rejection but is objected to for depending on a rejected claim. However Sbozak et al do teach the use of PEG as a compaction agent. The response is reminded that independent claim 11 reads on any compaction element and would still read on Sbozak et al's PEG which does promote intermolecular ligation.

PRIMARY EXAMINER